arXiv:1603.05946v1 [math.AP] 18 Mar 2016

Existence of multi-bump solutions to biharmonic operator with critical exponential growth in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Alânnio B. Nóbrega * Denilson S. Pereira†

Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática
CEP: 58429-900, Campina Grande - Pb, Brazil

Abstract

Using variational methods, we establish existence of multi-bump solutions for the following class of problems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + (\lambda V(x) + 1)u = f(u), & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^4, \\ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4), \end{cases}$$

where Δ^2 is the biharmonic operator, f is a continuous function with critical exponential growth and $V : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function verifying some conditions.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010): 35J20, 35J65

Keywords: biharmonic operator, critical exponential growth, multi-bump solution, variational methods.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence and multiplicity of multi-bump type solutions for the following class of problems

$$(P_\lambda) \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + (\lambda V(x) + 1)u \quad = f(u), & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^4, \\ \\ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4); \end{cases}$$

where Δ^2 denotes the biharmonic operator, $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $V : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and nonnegative potential such that $\Omega := int\, V^{-1}(0)$ has k connected

*alannio@dme.ufcg.edu.br
†denilsonsp@mat.ufcg.edu.br

components denoted by Ω_j, $j \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with critical exponential growth, that is, f behaves like $exp(\alpha_0 s^2)$ at infinity, for some $\alpha_0 > 0$. Namely, there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|s|\to\infty} \frac{f(s)}{e^{\alpha|s|^2}} = 0 \ \ \forall \alpha > \alpha_0, \quad \lim_{|s|\to\infty} \frac{f(s)}{e^{\alpha|s|^2}} = \infty \ \ \forall \alpha < \alpha_0 \ \ (\text{see } [10]\,). \tag{1.1}$$ expg

In the last years, problems involving the biharmonic operator has been studied by many researchers, in part because this operator helps to describe the mechanical vibrations of an elastic plate, which among other things describes the traveling waves in a suspension bridge, see [7, 11, 12, 13, 14]. On the other hand, the biharmonic draws attention by the difficulties encountered when trying to adapt known results for the Laplacian, for example, we cannot always rely on a maximum principle, and also, if u belongs to $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we cannot claim that $u^{\pm}$ belong to $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

Concerned multi-bump type solutions, Ding and Tanaka in [9] have considered the problem

$$(\tilde{P}_\lambda) \qquad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + (\lambda V(x) + Z(x))u = u^p, & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \ \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

In that paper, they showed that problem $(\tilde{P}_\lambda)$ has at least $2^k - 1$ multi-bump type solutions, for λ large enough.The same type of result was obtained by Alves, de Morais Filho and Souto in [1] and Alves and Souto [4], by assuming that f has a critical growth for the case $N \geq 3$ and critical exponential growth when $N = 2$, respectively. More recently, Alves and Pereira, in [3] showed the existence of nodal multi-bump solutions to a same type of problem, but considering a general nonlinearity with critical exponential growth. Related to the existence of multi-bump solutions for the biharmonic problem (P_λ), Alves and Nóbrega [2], developed a technique inspired in the works by Bartsch and Wang [5, 6] to show the existence of multi-bump solution. This required, because it is not clear that the celebrated method developed by del Pino and Felmer [8] can be used to problems involving biharmonic operator.

In this work, we intend to prove the existence and multiplicity of multi-bump positive solutions for problem (P_λ). Our main result completes the studies made in the previous papers, because we will work with the biharmonic operator and nonlinearity with a critical exponential growth. Since we will work with critical exponential growth in whole $\mathbb{R}^4$, a key point in our arguments is the following Adams-type inequality due to B. Ruf and F. Sani [15]:

$$\sup_{u\in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4),\ \|u\|_{H^2}\leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1)dx \begin{cases} < +\infty \text{ for } \alpha \leq 32\pi^2, \\ = +\infty \text{ for } \alpha > 32\pi^2, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$ X2

where $\|u\|^2_{H^2} := \|(-\Delta + I)u\|_2^2 = \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + 2\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2^2$.

Next we shall describe the conditions on the functions $V(x)$ and $f(x, s)$ in a more precise way. The potential potential $V : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

(V_1) $V(x) \geq 0,\ \forall\ x \in \mathbb{R}^4$;

(V_2) $\Omega = intV^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a non-empty bounded open set with smooth boundary, consisting of k connected components, more precisely,

- $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \Omega_j$;
- $dist(\Omega_i, \Omega_j) > 0,\ i \neq j$.

(V_3) There is $M_0 > 0$ such that $|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\ V(x) \leq M_0\}| < +\infty$.

Hereafter, if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is a mensurable set, $|A|$ denotes its Lebesgue's measure.

The natural space to treat the problem (P_λ) with variational method is

$$E_\lambda = \left\{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4);\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} V(x)\,|u|^2\,dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

The subspace E_λ endowed with the inner product

$$(u,v)_\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} [\Delta u \Delta v + (\lambda V(x) + 1)uv]\,dx,$$

is a Hilbert space and the norm generated by this inner product will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$. The space E_λ is continuously embedding in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, that is, there exists $\overline{C} > 0$, independent of λ, such that

$$\|u\|_{H^2} \leq \overline{C}\|u\|_\lambda,\ \forall u \in E_\lambda. \tag{1.3}$$ imb

Related to nonlinearity, we assume that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1-function verifying the following hypotheses:

(f_1) There is $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(s)|, |f'(s)| \leq Ce^{32\pi^2|s|^2} \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in \mathbb{R};$$

(f_2) $\lim_{s\to 0} \dfrac{f(s)}{s} = 0$;

(f_3) There is $\theta > 2$ such that

$$0 < \theta F(s) := \theta \int_0^s f(t)dt \leq sf(s), \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

(f_4) The function $s \mapsto \dfrac{f(s)}{|s|}$ is strictly increasing for $s \neq 0$.

(f_5) There exist constants $p > 2$ and $C_p > 0$ such that

$$f(s) \geq C_p|s|^{p-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

with

$$C_p > \left[\frac{2\overline{C}^2 k\theta(p-2)}{p(\theta-2)}\right]^{(p-2)/2} S_p^p, \quad \text{where} \quad S_p = \max_{1\le j\le k} \gamma_j$$

and

$$\gamma_j = \inf_{u\in H_0^2(\Omega_j)} \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega_j}(|\Delta u|^2 + u^2)dx\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega_j}|u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}}.$$

It is easily seen that $(f_1)-(f_5)$ hold for nonlinearities of the form

$$f(s) = \left(pC_p|s|^{p-2}s + 64\pi^2 C_p|s|^p s\right)e^{\alpha s^2}, \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in (0, 32\pi^2).$$

Our main result is the following

T1 **Theorem 1.1** *Suppose that $(f_1)-(f_5)$ and $(V_1)-(V_3)$ hold. Then, for each non-empty subset $\Gamma \subset \{1,\cdots,k\}$, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that problem (P_λ) has a solution u_λ, provided that $\lambda \ge \lambda^*$. Moreover, the family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda\ge\lambda^*}$ has the following property: for any sequence $\lambda_n \to \infty$, we can extract a subsequence λ_{n_i} such that $u_{\lambda_{n_i}}$ converges strongly in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ to a function u which satisfies $u(x) = 0$ for $x \notin \Omega_\Gamma = \cup_{j\in\Gamma}\Omega_j$, and the restriction $u|_{\Omega_j}$ is a solution with least energy of*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + u = f(u), & in \quad \Omega_j \\ u = \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0, & on \quad \partial\Omega_j. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$ 4

2 Preliminary remarks

In this section we fix some notations and introduce some preliminary results that will be fundamental in the development of this work.

Hereafter, if $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is a mensurable set, we denote $E_\lambda(\Theta)$ the space

$$E_\lambda(\Theta) = \left\{u \in H^2(\Theta);\ \int_\Theta V(x)\,|u|^2\,dx < +\infty\right\}.$$

endowed with the the inner product

$$(u,v)_{\lambda,\Theta} = \int_\Theta [\Delta u \Delta v + (\lambda V(x)+1)uv]\,dx.$$

The norm associated with this inner product will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda,\Theta}$.

The following estimate involving f, which is a consequence of our assumptions (f_1) and (f_2), is a key point in this work:

Main estimate. Fixed $q \geq 0,\ \eta > 0$ and $\tau > 1$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(u)| \leq \eta|u| + C|u|^q(e^{32\pi^2\tau u^2} - 1), \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$ me

In what follows, we establish an important inequality used in this paper. Its proof can be found in F. Sani [16].

lm1 **Lemma 2.1** *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $q \geq 2$. If $M > 0$ and $\alpha M^2 < 32\pi^2$ then there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, q, M) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1)|u|^q dx \leq C\|u\|^q_{H^2}.$$

holds for any $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ with $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq M$.

This inequality together with the Main estimate (2.5) implies that the energy functional $I_\lambda : E_\lambda \to \mathbb{R}$, associated to (P_λ) given by

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left[|\Delta u|^2 + (\lambda V(x) + 1)\,|u|^2\right] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} F(u)dx,$$

is well defined. Furthermore, using standard arguments, it is possible to prove that I_λ is of class C^1 with

$$I'_\lambda(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta u \Delta v + (\lambda V(x) + 1)uv)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} f(u)vdx \quad \text{for all} \quad u, v \in E_\lambda,$$

and its critical points are solutions of our problem (P_λ).

To finish this section, we state the following consequence of the Adams-type inequality.

cc1 **Corollary 2.2** *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ with $\limsup\{\|u_n\|^2_{H^2}\} \leq m < 1$. For $\tau,\ q > 1$ satisfying $\tau q m < 1$, there exists $C = C(\tau, q, m) > 0$ such that $b_\tau(u_n) := (e^{32\pi^2\tau u_n^2} - 1)$ belongs to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and*

$$\|b_\tau(u_n)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C.$$

Proof. Note that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|b_\tau(u_n)|^q \leq C\left(e^{32\pi^2(\sqrt{\tau q}u_n)^2} - 1\right)$$

and since $\|\sqrt{\tau q}u_n\|^2_{H^2} \leq \tau q m < 1$, from Adams-type inequality (1.2)

$$\sup_n\{\|b_\tau(u_n)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)}\} < \infty$$

and the proof is completed. ■

In the next, we define the constant

$$S := \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j,$$

which plays an important role in the study of Palais-Smale sequences, where c_j is the minimax level of Mountain Pass Theorem related to the functional $I_j : H_0^2(\Omega_j) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I_j(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_j}(|\Delta u|^2 + u^2)dx - \int_{\Omega_j} F(u)dx.$$

The following result presents an estimate from above for the constant S.

S **Lemma 2.3** *If $(f_1)-(f_5)$ hold, then $S \in (0, (\theta-2)/4\theta\overline{C}^2)$.*

Proof. In order to prove this inequality, for each $j \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$, let us fix a positive function $u_j \in H_0^2(\Omega_j)$ such that

$$\gamma_j = \inf_{u\in H_0^2(\Omega_j)} \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega_j}(|\Delta u|^2+u^2)dx\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega_j}|u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}} = \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega_j}(|\Delta u_j|^2+u_j^2)dx\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega_j}|u_j|^p dx\right)^{1/p}}.$$

It follows from the minimax characterizaton of c_j and hypothesis (f_5) that

$$\begin{aligned} c_j &\leq \max_{t\geq 0} I_j(tu_j) \\ &\leq \max_{t\geq 0}\left[\frac{t^2}{2}\int_{\Omega_j}(|\Delta u_j|^2+|u_j|^2)dx - \frac{t^p C_p}{p}\int_{\Omega_j}|u_j|^p dx\right] \\ &= \frac{p-2}{4p}\frac{\gamma_j^{2p/(p-2)}}{C_p^{2/(p-2)}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \leq k\frac{p-2}{4p}\frac{S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}{C_p^{2/(p-2)}}.$$

On the other hand, by (f_5)

$$k\frac{p-2}{4p}\frac{S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}{C_p^{2/(p-2)}} < \frac{\theta-2}{4\theta\overline{C}^2}.$$

■

3 The $(PS)_c$ Condition

In this section, we study some results about the Palais-Smale sequences related to I_λ, that is, of sequences $\{u_n\} \subset E_\lambda$ verifying

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0,$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (shortly $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence).

l1 **Lemma 3.1** *Let $\{u_n\} \subset E_\lambda$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_λ, then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Furthermore,*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 \leq \frac{2\theta c}{\theta - 2}.$$

Proof. Since $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence,

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \to c \text{ and } I'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0.$$

Thereby, for n large enough,

$$I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \leq c + o_n(1) + \epsilon_n \left|\left|u_n\right|\right|_\lambda, \tag{3.6}$$ 5

where $\epsilon_n \to 0$. On the other hand,

$$I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_\lambda(u_n)u_n = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left[\frac{1}{\theta} f(u_n)u_n - F(u_n)\right] dx.$$

Then, by (f_3),

$$I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \|u_n\|_\lambda^2. \tag{3.7}$$ 6

Gathering (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 \leq c + o_n(1) + \epsilon_n \|u_n\|_\lambda.$$

Therefore, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 \leq \frac{2\theta c}{\theta - 2}. \tag{3.8}$$ 7

■

An immediate consequence of the previous lemma is the following result.

c1 **Corollary 3.2** *Let $\{u_n\} \subset E_\lambda$ be a $(PS)_0$ sequence for I_λ. Then, $u_n \to 0$ in E_λ.*

l2 **Lemma 3.3** *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_λ with $c \in (0, S]$. If $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E_λ, then*

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(v_n) - I_\lambda(u_n) + I_\lambda(u) &= o_n(1) \\ I'_\lambda(v_n) - I'_\lambda(u_n) + I'_\lambda(u) &= o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $v_n := u_n - u$. Furthermore, $\{v_n\}$ is a $(PS)_{c-I_\lambda(u)}$ sequence.

Proof. Firstly, note that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(v_n) - I_\lambda(u_n) + I_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\|v_n\|_\lambda^2 - \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 + \|u\|_\lambda^2\right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)\right) dx \\ &= o_n(1) - \int_{B_R(0)} \left(F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)\right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0)} \left(F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)\right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $R > 0$ will be fixed later on. Since, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E_λ, we have

- $u_n \to u,$ in $L^p(B_R(0)),$ for $p \geq 1$;
- $u_n(x) \to u(x),$ *a.e.* in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Moreover, there are $h_1 \in L^2(B_R(0))$ and $h_2 \in L^q(B_R(0))$ such that

$$|u_n(x)| \leq h_1(x), h_2(x) \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbb{R}^4.$$

By Lebesgue's Theorem,

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)|\, dx \to 0. \tag{3.9}$$ 8

On the other hand, using the Main Estimate on f and The Mean Value Theorem, there is $t_n \in [0,1]$ such that $\theta_n := t_n v_n + (1-t_n)u_n$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |F(v_n) - F(u_n)| &\leq \eta |f(\theta_n)||u| \\ &\leq \eta |\theta_n||u| + C|u| b_\tau(\theta_n) \\ &\leq \eta(|u_n| + |u|)|u| + C|u| b_\tau(\theta_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Hölder inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0)} |F(v_n) - F(u_n)| &\leq \eta(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))})\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))} \\ &\quad + C\|u\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_R(0))} \|b_\tau(\theta_n)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q', q > 1$ satisfies $1/q' + 1/q = 1$.

Since

$$\|\theta_n\|_{H^2} \leq \|u_n\|_{H^2} + \|u\|_{H^2}, \tag{3.10}$$

and $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\theta_n\|_{H^2} \leq 2 \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_{H^2} \tag{3.11}$$ e0

from Lemmas 3.1, 2.3 and by embedding (1.3) follow that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_{H^2} \leq m < 1/2, \tag{3.12}$$ e1

where $m = \dfrac{2\theta S\overline{C}}{\theta - 2}$. Combining (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain

$$\limsup \|\theta_n\|_{H^2} \leq m' < 1.$$

Consindering $q > 1$ suficiently close to 1 such that $\tau q m' < 1$, by Corollary 2.2, we get $\|b_\tau(\theta_n)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C$. Then, the above estimate combined with the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ and Sobolev embeddings gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0)} |F(v_n) - F(u_n)|\,dx \leq \eta C_1 \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0))} + \|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0))}\right) + C\|u\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0))}.$$

Now, we can fix $R > 0$ large enough verifying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0)} |F(v_n) - F(u_n)|\,dx \leq \epsilon.$$

Using again the Main Estimate on f we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0)} |F(u)|\,dx \leq \eta\,||u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0))} + C\|u\|^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0))}.$$

Then, increasing R if necessary, we can assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0)} |F(u)|\,dx \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0)} |F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)|\,dx \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By arbitrariness of ϵ, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0)} |F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)|\,dx = 0 \tag{3.13}$$ 9

From (3.9) and (3.13), we get the first of the identities. The second one follows exploring the same type of arguments and the growth of f'. ■

13 **Lemma 3.4** *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_λ with $c \in (0, S]$. Then, there exists $c_* > 0$, independent of λ, such that $c \in [c_*, S]$.*

Proof. The Lemma 2.1 together with the Sobolev embedding gives

$$I'_\lambda(u)u \geq \frac{1}{2}\,||u||^2_\lambda - K\,||u||^q_\lambda\,,$$

for some constant $K > 0$. Thus, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$I'_\lambda(u)u \geq \frac{1}{4}\,||u||^2_\lambda,\ \text{for}\ ||u||_\lambda \leq \delta. \tag{3.14}$$ 11

Consider $c_* = \delta^2\dfrac{\theta-2}{2\theta}$ and $c \in (0, c_*)$. From Lemma 3.1,

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} ||u_n||^2_\lambda < \delta^2,$$

implying that for n large enough,

$$||u_n||_\lambda \leq \delta. \tag{3.15}$$ 12

Hence, (3.14) and (3.15) combine to give

$$I'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \geq \frac{1}{4}\,||u_n||^2_\lambda\,,$$

leading to

$$||u_n||^2_\lambda \to 0,$$

and so, $I_\lambda(u_n) \to I_\lambda(0) = 0$. This contradicts the hypothesis that $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence, with $c > 0$. Therefore, $c \geq c_*$. ■

14 **Lemma 3.5** *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_λ, with $c \in [0, S]$. Then, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ independent of λ, such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} ||u_n||^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \delta_0 c.$$

Proof. By (f_1) and (f_2), given $\eta > 0$, there is $C_\eta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}f(t)t - F(t) \leq \eta\,|t|^2 + C_\eta\,|t|^q\,b_\tau(t),\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, from Corollary 2.2 and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.3

$$c \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty}\left(\eta\,||u_n||^2_\lambda + C_\eta\,||u_n||^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)}\right). \tag{3.16}$$ 13

On the other hand, by (f_3),

$$I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta}I'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)||u_n||^2_\lambda\,. \tag{3.17}$$ 14

Combining (3.16) with (3.17), we get

$$c \leq \frac{2\eta c\theta}{\theta-2} + C_\eta \liminf_{n\to+\infty} ||u_n||^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)}\,.$$

Thereby, for η small enough,

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} ||u_n||^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)} \geq \frac{c}{C_\eta}\left(1-\frac{2\eta\theta}{\theta-2}\right) > 0.$$

Now, the lemma follows fixing

$$\delta_0 = \frac{1}{C_\eta}\left(1-\frac{2\eta\theta}{\theta-2}\right).$$

■

The following result is a consequence of hypothesis (V_3) and its proof can be found in [2, 5, 6].

15 **Lemma 3.6** *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_λ with $c \in [0, S]$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants $\Lambda, R > 0$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} ||u_n||^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus B_R(0))} \leq \epsilon, \quad \textit{for all} \quad \lambda \geq \Lambda.$$

In the following result, we will show that the functional I_λ verifies the $(PS)_c$ condition to $c \in [0, S]$ and λ large enough.

p1 **Proposition 3.7** *Let $c \in [0, S]$. Then, there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that I_λ verifies the $(PS)_c$ condition, for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence. By Lemma 3.1, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded and consequently, passing to a subsequence if necessary,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ in } \ E_\lambda; \\ u_n(x) \to u(x) \ \ a.e. \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^4; \\ u_n \to u \ \text{ in } L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^4), \quad s \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, $I'_\lambda(u) = 0$ and $I_\lambda(u) \geq 0$, because

$$I_\lambda(u) = I_\lambda(u) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_\lambda(u)u \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\|u\|^2_\lambda \geq 0.$$

Taking $v_n = u_n - u$, we have by Lemma 3.3 that $\{v_n\}$ is a $(PS)_d$ sequence, with $d = c - I_\lambda(u)$. Furthermore,

$$0 \leq d = c - I_\lambda(u) \leq c \leq S.$$

We claim that $d = 0$. Indeed, otherwise $d > 0$. Thereby, by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, $d \geq c_*$ and

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \|v_n\|^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)} \geq \delta_0 c_* > 0. \tag{3.18}$$ 18

Applying the Lemma 3.6 with $\epsilon = \dfrac{\delta_0 c_*}{2} > 0$, there exist $\Lambda, R > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \|v_n\|^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)\setminus B_R(0)} \leq \frac{\delta_0 c_*}{2}, \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \geq \Lambda. \tag{3.19}$$ 19

Combining (3.18) with (3.19), we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} ||v_n||^q_{L^q(B_R(0))} \geq \frac{\delta_0 c_*}{2} > 0,$$

which is an absurd, because as $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in E_λ, the compact embedding $E_\lambda \hookrightarrow L^q(B_R(0))$ gives

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \|v_n\|^q_{L^q(B_R(0))} = 0.$$

Therefore $d = 0$ and $\{v_n\}$ is a $(PS)_0$ sequence. Then, by Corollary 3.2, $v_n \to 0$ in E_λ, or equivalently, $u_n \to u$ in E_λ, showing that for λ large enough, I_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c \in [0, S]$. ■

4 The $(PS)_\infty$ Condition

In this section, we will study the behavior of a $(PS)_\infty$ sequence, that is, a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} &u_n \in E_{\lambda_n} \text{ and } \lambda_n \to +\infty;\\ &I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \to c, \quad \text{for some} \quad c \in [0, S];\\ &\|I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\|_{E'_{\lambda_n}} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, let us fix a bouded open subset Ω'_j with smooth boundary such that

(i) $\overline{\Omega_j} \subset \Omega'_j$;

(ii) $\overline{\Omega'_j} \cap \overline{\Omega'_l} = \emptyset$, for all $j \neq l$,

and for $\Gamma \subset \{1, \cdots, k\}$, $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, let us define

$$\Omega_\Gamma = \bigcup_{j\in\Gamma} \Omega_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega'_\Gamma = \bigcup_{j\in\Gamma} \Omega'_j.$$

p2 **Proposition 4.1** *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_\infty$ sequence for I_λ. Then, there is a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by itself, and $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ such that*

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}^4).$$

Moreover,

i) *$u \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \Omega_\Gamma$ and u is a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + u = f(u), \text{ in } \Omega_j, \\ u = \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega_j, \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$ 20

for all $j \in \Gamma$;

ii) $||u_n - u||^2_{\lambda_n} \to 0$.

iii) $\{u_n\}$ *also satisfies*

$$\lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} V(x)\,|u_n|^2\,dx \to 0,\ n \to +\infty$$
$$||u_n||^2_{\lambda_n,\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega_\Gamma} \to 0$$
$$||u_n||^2_{\lambda_n,\Omega'_j} \to \int_{\Omega_j} \left[|\Delta u|^2 + |u|^2\right] dx,\ \forall j \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. In what follows, we fix $c \in [0, S]$ verifying

$$I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \to c \text{ and } \|I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\|_{E'_{\lambda_n}} \to 0.$$

Then, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)u_n \le c + 1 + ||u_n||_{\lambda_n}, \quad \forall n \ge n_0.$$

On the other hand, from (f_3),

$$I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)u_n \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) ||u_n||^2_{\lambda_n},\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So, for $n \ge n_0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) ||u_n||^2_{\lambda_n} \le c + 1 + ||u_n||_{\lambda_n},$$

implying that $\{||u_n||_{\lambda_n}\}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. As

$$||u_n||_{\lambda_n} \ge ||u_n||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)},\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$\{u_n\}$ is also bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, and so, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by itself, and $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}^4).$$

To show (i), we fix for each $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the set

$$C_m = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 / V(x) > \frac{1}{m}\right\}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} C_m.$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_m} |u_n|^2\,dx &= \int_{C_m} \frac{\lambda_n V(x)+1}{\lambda_n V(x)+1}\,|u_n|^2\,dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_n}{m}+1}\,||u_n||^2_{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \frac{mM}{\lambda_n+m},\end{aligned}$$

where $M = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|^2_{\lambda_n}$. By Fatou's Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_m} |u|^2\,dx &\leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \int_{C_m} |u_n|^2\,dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \frac{mM}{\lambda_n+m} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$ almost everywhere in C_m, and consequently, $u = 0$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. Besides, fixing $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{\Omega})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\overline{\Omega}} \nabla u(x)\varphi(x)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\overline{\Omega}} u(x)\nabla\varphi(x)dx = 0,$$

from where it follows that

$$\nabla u(x) = 0,\ a.e.\ \text{in}\ \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{\Omega}.$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is smooth , $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{\Omega})$ and $\nabla u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \overline{\Omega})$, by Trace Theorem, there are constants $K_1, K_2 > 0$ satisfying

$$||u||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq K_1\,||u||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\overline{\Omega})} = 0,$$

and

$$||\nabla u||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq K_2\,||\nabla u||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\overline{\Omega})} = 0,$$

loading to $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$. To complete the proof of i), consider a test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, and note that

$$I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\varphi = \int_\Omega \left[\Delta u_n \Delta\phi + u_n\varphi\right] dx - \int_\Omega f(u_n)\varphi dx. \tag{4.21}$$ 21

Since $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_\infty$ sequence, we derive that

$$I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\varphi \to 0. \tag{4.22}$$ 22

Recalling that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we must have

$$\int_\Omega \left[\Delta u_n \Delta\varphi + u_n\varphi\right] dx \to \int_\Omega \left[\Delta u \Delta\varphi + u\varphi\right] dx \tag{4.23}$$ 23

and

$$\int_\Omega f(u_n)\varphi dx \to \int_\Omega f(u)\varphi dx. \tag{4.24}$$ 24

Therefore, from (4.21)-(4.24),

$$\int_\Omega \left[\Delta u \Delta\varphi + u\phi\right] dx = \int_\Omega f(u)\varphi dx,\ \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

As $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $H_0^2(\Omega)$, the above equality gives

$$\int_\Omega \left[\Delta u \Delta v + uv\right] dx = \int_\Omega f(u)v dx,\ \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega),$$

showing that u is weak solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + u = f(u), \text{ in } \Omega_j, \\ u = \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega_j, \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$ 25

For ii), note that

$$||u_n - u||_{\lambda_n}^2 = ||u_n||_{\lambda_n}^2 + ||u||_{\lambda_n}^2 - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left[\Delta u_n \Delta u + (\lambda_n V(x) + 1)u_n u\right] dx. \tag{4.26}$$ 26

From i),

$$\|u\|_{\lambda_n}^2 = \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

and so,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left[\Delta u_n \Delta u + (\lambda_n V(x) + 1)u_n u\right] dx = \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} + o_n(1).$$

Thus, we can rewrite (4.26) as

$$||u_n - u||_{\lambda_n}^2 = ||u_n||_{\lambda_n}^2 - ||u||_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + o_n(1). \tag{4.27}$$ 27

Gathering the boundedness of $\{\|u_n\|_{\lambda_n}\}$ with the limit $\|I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\|_{E'_{\lambda_n}} \to 0$, we find the limit

$$I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)u_n \to 0.$$

Hence,

$$||u_n||_{\lambda_n}^2 = I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)u_n + \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} f(u_n)u_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} f(u_n)u_n dx + o_n(1). \tag{4.28}$$ 28

On the other hand, we know that the limit $I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)u \to 0$ is equivalent to

$$\int_\Omega \left[\Delta u_n \Delta u + u_n u\right] dx - \int_\Omega f(u_n)u dx = o_n(1),$$

which loads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\left[|\Delta u|^2+|u|^2\right]dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}f(u)udx. \tag{4.29}$$

29

Combining (4.27) with (4.28) and (4.29), we see that

$$||u_n-u||^2_{\lambda_n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}f(u_n)u_ndx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}f(u)udx+o_n(1).$$

Using the Lebesgue's Theorem together with Adams-type inequality (1.2), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}f(u_n)u_ndx\to\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}f(u)udx,$$

finishing the proof of ii). The proof of iii) follows from ii) and the inequality below

$$\lambda_n\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}V(x)\left|u_n\right|^2dx=\lambda_n\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}V(x)\left|u_n-u\right|^2dx\leq\|u_n-u\|^2_{\lambda_n}.$$

■

5 The Existence of Multi-bump Solutions

In this section, we denote by $I_{\lambda,j}:H^2(\Omega_j')\to\mathbb{R}$ the functional given by

$$I_{\lambda,j}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_j'}\left[|\Delta u|^2+(\lambda V(x)+1)\left|u\right|^2\right]dx-\int_{\Omega_j'}F(u)dx.$$

It follows from [16] that I_j and $I_{\lambda,j}$, where I_j is the functional defined in the section 2, satisfy the mountain pass geometry. Hereafter, we denote by c_j and $c_{\lambda,j}$ the mountain pass levels related to the functionals I_j and $I_{\lambda,j}$. Since those functionals satisfy to the Palais-Smale condition in the interval $[0,S]$ and $c_{\lambda,j}\leq c_j\leq S,$ for all $j\in\{1,\cdots,k\}$, we conclude from Mountain Pass Theorem due to Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz that there exist $w_j\in H_0^2(\Omega_j)$ and $v_j\in H_0^2(\Omega_j')$ such that

$$I_j(w_j)=c_j,\ I_{\lambda,j}(v_j)=c_{\lambda,j}\text{ and }I_j'(w_j)=I_{\lambda,j}'(v_j)=0.$$

In what follows, consider $\Gamma=\{1,2,\cdots,l\}$, with $l\leq k$, and $c_\Gamma=\sum_{j=1}^{l}c_j$. We fix $\epsilon>0$ and $\zeta>0$ such that

$$I_j((1-\epsilon)w_j,I_j((1+\epsilon)w_j)<c_j-\zeta,\forall j\in\Gamma.$$

Let us set $Q=(1-\epsilon,1+\epsilon)^l$ and define $\gamma_0:\overline{Q}\to E_\lambda$ by

$$\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{l}s_jw_j(x),\ \forall\overrightarrow{s}=(s_1,\cdots,s_l)\in\overline{Q}.$$

In the case of polynomial subcritical growth ($N \geq 4$), Alves and Nóbrega in [2] considered a cube $\tilde{Q} = (1/R^2, 1)^l$, where $R > 0$ was chosen large, thus $|\tilde{Q}|$ was near to 1. In our case, since we are working with critial exponential growth, we need to consider a cube $Q = (1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)^l$, where $\epsilon > 0$ will be taken small, which implies $|Q|$ is near to 0.

In what follows, we denote by Σ_λ the class of continuous path $\gamma \in C(\overline{Q}, E_\lambda \setminus \{0\})$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(a) \qquad \gamma = \gamma_0 \text{ on } \partial(\overline{Q})$$

and

$$(b) \qquad I_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})) \geq 0,$$

where $I_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma} : H^2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \Omega'_\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the functional defined by

$$I_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma} \left[|\Delta u|^2 + (\lambda V(x)+1)|u|^2\right] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma} F(u)dx.$$

Notice that $\Sigma_\lambda \neq \emptyset$, because $\gamma_0 \in \Sigma_\lambda$.

Using the class Σ_λ, we define the following minimax level

$$b_{\lambda,\Gamma} = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_*} \max_{\overrightarrow{s}\in\overline{Q}} I_\lambda(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})).$$

16 **Lemma 5.1** *For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_*$, there is $\overrightarrow{t} \in \overline{Q}$ verifying*

$$I'_{\lambda,j}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{t}))\gamma(\overrightarrow{t}) = 0, \text{ for } j \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. Given $\gamma \in \Sigma_\lambda$, consider the map $\widetilde{\gamma} : \overline{Q} \to \mathbb{R}^l$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(\overrightarrow{s}) = \left(I'_{\lambda,1}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s}))\gamma(\overrightarrow{s}), \cdots, I'_{\lambda,l}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s}))\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})\right).$$

For $\overrightarrow{s} \in \partial(\overline{Q})$, we know that

$$\gamma(\overrightarrow{s}) = \gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s}).$$

Then, $I'_{\lambda,j}(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s}))(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) = 0$ which implies

$$s_j \notin \{1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon\}, \forall j \in \Gamma.$$

In fact, otherwise

$$I'_{\lambda,j}(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s}))(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) = 0$$

for $s_j = (1-\epsilon)$ or $s_j = (1+\epsilon)$, that is,

$$I'_j((1-\epsilon)w_j)((1-\epsilon)w_j) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad I'_j((1+\epsilon)w_j)((1+\epsilon)w_j) = 0$$

implying that

$$I_j((1-\epsilon)w_j) \geq c_j \quad \text{or} \quad I_j((1+\epsilon)w_j) \geq c_j,$$

which contradicts the choice of ϵ. Hence,

$$(0,0,\cdots,0) \notin \widetilde{\gamma}(\partial(\overline{Q})).$$

Then, by Topological Degree

$$deg(\widetilde{\gamma}, Q, (0,0,\cdots,0)) = (-1)^l \neq 0,$$

from where it follows that there exists $\overrightarrow{t} \in Q$ satisfying

$$I'_{\lambda,j}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{t}))(\gamma(\overrightarrow{t})) = 0, \text{ for } j \in \Gamma.$$

■

p3 **Proposition 5.2**

a) $\sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{\lambda,j} \leq b_{\lambda,\Gamma} \leq c_\Gamma$, $\forall \lambda \geq 1$.

b) *For $\gamma \in \Gamma_*$ and $\overrightarrow{s} \in \partial(\overline{Q})$, we have*

$$I_\lambda(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})) < c_\Gamma, \forall \lambda \geq 1.$$

Proof.

a) Since $\gamma_0 \in \Sigma_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\lambda,\Gamma} &\leq \max_{\overrightarrow{s}\in\overline{Q}} I_{\lambda,j}(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) \\ &\leq \max_{\overrightarrow{s}\in\overline{Q}} I_{\lambda,j}(\sum_{i=1}^{l} s_i w_i(x)) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} \max_{s_j\in[(1-\epsilon),(1+\epsilon)]} I_j(s_j w_j(x)) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_j = c_{\Gamma.} \end{aligned}$$

For each $\gamma \in \Sigma_\lambda$ and $\overrightarrow{t} \in \overline{Q}$ as in Lemma 5.1, we find

$$I_{\lambda,j}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{t})) \geq c_{\lambda,j}, \forall j \in \Gamma,$$

where we have used the following characterization of $c_{\lambda,j}$

$$c_{\lambda,j} = \inf\{I_{\lambda,j}(u);\ u \in E_\lambda \setminus \{0\};\ I'_{\lambda,j}(u)u = 0\}.$$

On the other hand, recalling that $I_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})) \geq 0$, we have

$$I_\lambda(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{l} I_{\lambda,j}(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})),$$

and so,

$$\max_{\overrightarrow{s}\in\overline{Q}} I_\lambda(\gamma(\overrightarrow{s})) \geq I_\lambda(\gamma(\overrightarrow{t})) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{\lambda,j}.$$

The last inequality combined with the definition of $b_{\lambda,\Gamma}$ gives

$$b_{\lambda,\Gamma} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{\lambda,j},$$

This completes the proof of a).

b) As $\gamma(\overrightarrow{s}) = \gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})$ on $\partial(\overline{Q})$, we derive that

$$I_\lambda(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} I_j(s_j w_j), \forall \overrightarrow{s} \in \partial(\overline{Q}).$$

Since

$$I_j(s_j w_j) \leq c_j, \quad \forall j \in \Gamma$$

and there is $j_0 \in \Gamma$, such that $s_{j_0} \in \{(1-\epsilon),(1+\epsilon)\}$, we have

$$I_\lambda(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) < c_\Gamma.$$

■

Corollary 5.3 *$b_{\lambda,\Gamma} \to c_\Gamma$, when $\lambda \to +\infty$.*

Proof. Using well known arguments, it is possible to prove that $c_{\lambda,j} \to c_j$ for each $j \in \Gamma$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.2, $b_{\lambda,\Gamma} \to c_\Gamma$ when $\lambda \to +\infty$. ■

6 Proof of the Main Theorem

Hereafter, we consider

$$R = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) c_j},$$

$$\overline{B}_R(0) = \{u \in E_\lambda; \|u\|_\lambda \leq R+1\},$$

and for small $\mu > 0$, we define

$$A_\mu^\lambda = \left\{ u \in \overline{B}_{R+1}; ||u||_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\Gamma'} \leq \mu,\ I_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega_\Gamma'}(u) \geq 0 \text{ and } |I_{\lambda,j}(u) - c_j| \leq \mu, \forall j \in \Gamma \right\},$$

and

$$I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma} = \{u \in E_\lambda / I_\lambda(u) \leq c_\Gamma\}.$$

Note that $A^\lambda_\mu \cap I^{c_\Gamma}_\lambda \neq \emptyset$, because $w = \sum_{j=1}^{l} w_j \in A^\lambda_\mu \cap I^{c_\Gamma}_\lambda$.

Another important estimate is that, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\|\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})\|^2_\lambda \leq (1+\epsilon)^2 \|\sum_{j=1}^{l} w_j\|^2_\lambda \leq M = \frac{\theta c_\Gamma}{\theta - 2}(1+\epsilon)^2 < 1. \tag{6.30}$$

Fixing

$$0 < \mu < \frac{1}{4}\min\{c_j; j \in \Gamma\} \tag{6.31}$$ 30

we have the following uniform estimate from below for $\|I'_\lambda(u)\|$ in the set $\left(A^\lambda_{2\mu} \setminus A^\lambda_\mu\right) \cap I^{c_\Gamma}_\lambda$.

p4 **Proposition 6.1** *Let $\mu > 0$ satisfy (6.31). Then, there exist $\sigma_0 > 0$ independent of λ and $\Lambda_* \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|I'_\lambda(u)\| \geq \sigma_0 \text{ for } \lambda \geq \Lambda_* \text{ and for all } u \in \left(A^\lambda_{2\mu} \setminus A^\lambda_\mu\right) \cap I^{c_\Gamma}_\lambda. \tag{6.32}$$ 31

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that there are $\lambda_n \to +\infty$ and $u_n \in E_{\lambda_n}$, with

$$u_n \in \left(A^{\lambda_n}_{2\mu} \setminus A^{\lambda_n}_\mu\right) \cap I^{c_\Gamma}_{\lambda_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\| \to 0.$$

Since $u_n \in A^{\lambda_n}_{2\mu}$, the sequence $\{\|u_n\|_{\lambda_n}\}$ is bounded. Consequently $\{I_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\}$ is also bounded. Then, passing to a subsequence if necessary,

$$I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \to c \in (-\infty, c_\Gamma].$$

By Proposition 4.1, passing to a subsequence if necessary, $u_n \to u$ in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and $u \in H^2_0(\Omega_\Gamma)$ is a solution of the problem (4.20). Moreover,

$$\lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} V(x)\,|u_n|^2\,dx \to 0, \tag{6.33}$$ 32

$$||u_n||^2_{\lambda_n, \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \Omega_\Gamma} \to 0 \tag{6.34}$$ 33

$$||u_n||^2_{\lambda_n, \Omega'_j} \to \int_{\Omega_j} \left[|\Delta u|^2 + |u|^2\right] dx, \ \forall j \in \Gamma. \tag{6.35}$$ 34

Since $c_\Gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_j$ and c_j is the least energy level for I_j, one of the following cases occurs:

i) $u\,\big|_{\Omega_j} \neq 0$, $\forall j \in \Gamma$, or

ii) $u\,\big|_{\Omega_{j_0}} = 0$, for some $j_0 \in \Gamma$.

If i) happens, from (6.33) – (6.35)

$$I_j(u) = c_j, \quad \forall j \in \Gamma.$$

Hence $u_n \in A_\mu^{\lambda_n}$ for n large enough, which is a contradiction.

If ii) happens, from (6.33) and (6.34)

$$|I_{\lambda_n, j_0}(u_n) - c_{j_0})| \to c_{j_0} \geq 4\mu,$$

which contradicts the hypothesis $u_n \in A_{2\mu}^{\lambda_n} \setminus A_\mu^{\lambda_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since i) or ii) cannot happen, we get an absurd, finishing the proof. ■

p5 **Proposition 6.2** *Let μ satisfy* (6.31) *and $\Lambda_* \geq 1$ constants given in the Proposition 5.2. Then for $\lambda \geq \Lambda_*$, there exists u_λ a solution of (P_λ) satisfying $u_\lambda \in A_\mu^\lambda \cap I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma}$.*

Proof. We will suppose, by contradiction, that there are no critical points of I_λ in $A_\mu^\lambda \cap I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma}$. By Proposition 3.7, I_λ satisfies the $(PS)_d$ condition for $d \in [0, c_\Gamma]$ and λ large enough. Thereby, there exists $d_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$||I'_\lambda(u)|| \geq d_\lambda, \ \forall u \in A_\mu^\lambda \cap I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 6.1,

$$\|I'_\lambda(u)\| \geq \sigma_0, \ \forall u \in (A_{2\mu}^\lambda \setminus A_\mu^\lambda) \cap I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma},$$

where σ_0 is independent of λ. Now, let us define the continuous functions $\Psi : E_\lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H : I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(u) &= 1, \quad u \in A_{3\mu/2}^\lambda, \\ \Psi(u) &= 0, \quad u \notin A_{2\mu}^\lambda, \\ 0 \leq \Psi(u) &\leq 1, \quad \text{for } u \in E_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} -\Psi(u)\, ||Y(u)||^{-1} Y(u), & u \in A_{2\mu}^\lambda, \\ 0, & u \notin A_{2\mu}^\lambda, \end{cases}$$

where Y is a pseudogradient vector field for I_λ on

$$X = \{u \in E_\lambda; I_\lambda(u) \neq 0\}.$$

Notice that

$$||H(u)|| \leq 1, \text{ for all } \lambda \geq \Lambda_* \text{ and } u \in I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma}.$$

The above information ensures the existence of a flow $\eta : [0, +\infty) \times I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d\eta(t,u)}{dt} &= H(\eta(t,u)) \\ \eta(0,u) &= u \in I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma}, \end{cases}$$

verifying

$$\frac{dI_\lambda(\eta(t,u))}{dt} \leq -\Psi(\eta(t,u))\,||I'_\lambda(\eta(t,u))|| \leq 0, \tag{6.36}$$ 35

$$\left|\left|\frac{d\eta}{dt}\right|\right| = ||H(\eta)|| \leq 1, \tag{6.37}$$ 36

and

$$\eta(t,u) = u,\ \forall\ t \geq 0 \text{ and } u \in I_\lambda^{c_\Gamma} \setminus A_{2\mu}^\lambda. \tag{6.38}$$ 37

In what follows, we set

$$\beta(\overrightarrow{s}) = \eta(T, \gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})),\ \forall \overrightarrow{s} \in \overline{Q},$$

where $T > 0$ will be fixed later on.

Since

$$\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s}) \notin A_{2\mu}^\lambda,\ \forall \overrightarrow{s} \in \partial(\overline{Q}),$$

we deduce that

$$\beta(\overrightarrow{s}) = \gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s}),\ \forall \overrightarrow{s} \in \partial(\overline{Q}).$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that

$$I_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^4\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma}(\beta(\overrightarrow{s})) \geq 0, \quad \forall \overrightarrow{s} \in \overline{Q},$$

showing that $\beta \in \Sigma_\lambda$.

Note that $supp(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) \subset \overline{\Omega}_\Gamma$ for all $\overrightarrow{s} \in \overline{Q}$ and $I_\lambda(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s}))$ independent of $\lambda \geq \Lambda$. Furthermore,

$$I_\lambda(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) \leq c_\Gamma, \forall \overrightarrow{s} \in \overline{Q}$$

and

$$I_\lambda(\gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s})) = c_\Gamma,\ \text{if } s_j = 1, \forall j \in \Gamma.$$

Therefore,

$$m_0 = max\left\{I_\lambda(u); u \in \gamma_0(\overline{Q}) \setminus A_\mu^\lambda\right\} < c_\Gamma,$$

and m_0 is independent of λ.

cl1 **Claim 6.3** *There exists a constant $K_* > 0$ such that*

$$|I_{\lambda_n}(u) - I_{\lambda_n}(v)| \leq K_*\|u - v\|_{\lambda_n}$$

for all $u, v \in \overline{B}_{(M+3)/4}(0)$.

In fact, let $u, v \in \overline{B}_{(M+3)/4}(0)$, there is $K > 0$ such that

$$|\langle I'_{\lambda_n}(tu + (1-t)v), w\rangle| \leq K, \quad \forall w \in E_{\lambda_n},\ \|w\|_{\lambda_n} \leq 1.$$

Since,

$$|\langle I'_{\lambda_n}(tu + (1-t)v), w\rangle| \leq \frac{M+3}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(tu + (1-t)v)w|,$$

we only need to prove the boundedness of the above integral. Using the Main Estimate on f ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(tu+(1-t)v)w| \leq \frac{M+3}{2} + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w| b_\tau(tu+(1-t)v). \tag{6.39}$$ equa1

By the Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w| b_\tau(tu+(1-t)v) \leq |w|_{q'} |b_\tau(tu+(1-t)v)|_q, \tag{6.40}$$ equa2

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. Since $M < 1$,

$$\|tu+(1-t)v\|_{\lambda_n} \leq t\|u\|_{\lambda_n} + (1-t)\|v\|_{\lambda_n} \leq \frac{M+3}{4} < 1.$$

Then, we can take $q > 1$, q near 1, such that $q\tau(M+3)/4 < 1$. Thus, from Corollary 2.2

$$|b_\tau(tu+(1-t)v)|_q \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0,1],\ u, v \in B_{(M+3)/4}(0). \tag{6.41}$$ equa3

Therefore, from (6.39), (6.40) and (6.41),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(tu+(1-t)v)w| \leq C, \qquad \forall u, v \in \overline{B}_{(M+3)/4}(0),\ t \in [0,1],\ \|w\|_\lambda \leq 1,$$

showing that Claim 6.3 holds.

From Claim 6.3 follows that if T is large enough, the estimate below holds

$$\max_{\overrightarrow{s} \in \overline{Q})} I_\lambda\left(\beta(\overrightarrow{s})\right) \leq \max\{m_0, c_\Gamma - \frac{1}{2K_*}\sigma_0\mu\}. \tag{6.42}$$ 38

Indeed, fixing $u = \gamma_0(\overrightarrow{s}) \in E_\lambda$. If $u \notin A_\mu^\lambda$, we have

$$I_\lambda\left(\eta(t,u))\right) \leq I_\lambda\left(\eta(0,u))\right) = I_\lambda(u) \leq m_0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, if $u \in A_\mu^\lambda$, let us fix $\tilde{\eta}(t) = \eta(t,u)$. Taking $\tilde{d}_\lambda = \min\{d_\lambda, \sigma_0\}$ and $T = \dfrac{\sigma_0\mu}{2K_* d_\lambda} > 0$, we will analyze the following cases:

Case 1: $\tilde{\eta}(t) \in A_{3\mu/2}^\lambda, \forall t \in [0,T]$.

Case 2: $\tilde{\eta}(t_0) \in \partial A_{3\mu/2}^\lambda,$ for some $t_0 \in [0,T]$.

Analysis of the Case 1: In this case,

$$\Psi(\tilde{\eta}(t)) \equiv 1, \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$

and

$$\|I_\lambda'(\tilde{\eta}(t))\| \geq \tilde{d}_\lambda, \forall t \in [0,T].$$

Hence,

$$I_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(T)) = I_\lambda(u) + \int_0^T \frac{d}{ds} I_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(s))ds \leq c_\Gamma - \int_0^T \tilde{d}_\lambda ds,$$

it following that

$$I_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(T)) \leq c_\Gamma - \tilde{d}_\lambda T = c_\Gamma - \frac{1}{2K_*}\sigma_0\mu.$$

Analysis of the Case 2: Let $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$, satisfying $\tilde{\eta}(t_1) \in \partial A_\mu^\lambda$, $\tilde{\eta}(t_2) \in \partial A_{3\mu/2}^\lambda$ and $\tilde{\eta}(t) \in A_{3\mu/2}^\lambda \setminus A_\mu^\lambda, \forall t \in [t_1, t_2]$. Then

$$\|\tilde{\eta}(t_1) - \tilde{\eta}(t_2)\| \geq \frac{1}{2K_*}\mu. \tag{6.43}$$ 39

Indeed, denoting $w_1 = \tilde{\eta}(t)$ and $w_2 = \tilde{\eta}(t_2)$, it follows that

$$\|w_2\|_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma} = \frac{3}{2}\mu \quad \text{or} \quad |I_{\lambda,j_0}(w_2) - c_{j_0}| = \frac{3}{2}\mu.$$

From definition of A_μ^λ, we have $\|w_2\|_{\lambda,\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}\setminus\Omega'_\Gamma} \leq \mu$. Thus,

$$\|w_2 - w_1\|_\lambda \geq \frac{1}{K_*}\left|I_{\lambda,j_0}(w_2) - I_{\lambda,j_0}(w_1)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2K_*}\mu.$$

By Mean Value Theorem

$$\|\tilde{\eta}(t_1) - \tilde{\eta}(t_2)\|_\lambda \leq \left|\left|\frac{d\eta}{dt}\right|\right| |t_1 - t_2|. \tag{6.44}$$ 40

As $\left|\left|\frac{d\eta}{dt}\right|\right| \leq 1$, from (6.43) and (6.44),

$$|t_1 - t_2| \geq \frac{1}{2K_*}\mu.$$

Hence

$$I_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(T)) \leq I_\lambda(u) - \int_0^T \Psi(\tilde{\eta}(s))\|I'_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(s))\|ds,$$

and so,

$$I_\lambda(\tilde{\eta}(T)) \leq c_\Gamma - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sigma_0 ds \leq c_\Gamma - \frac{\sigma_0}{2K_*}\mu,$$

proving (6.42).

Thereby,

$$b_{\lambda,\Gamma} \leq \max_{[1/R^2,1]^l} I_\lambda(\widehat{\eta}(s_1, \cdots, s_l)) \leq \max\{m_0, c_\Gamma - \frac{1}{2K*}\sigma_0\mu\} < c_\Gamma,$$

which is an absurd, because $b_{\lambda,\Gamma} \to c_\Gamma$, when $\lambda \to \infty$. ■

Thus, we can conclude that I_λ has a solution u_λ in A_μ^λ for λ large enough.

Completion of the Proof of Theorem 1.1:

From the Proposition 6.2 there exists $\{u_{\lambda_n}\}$ with $\lambda_n \to +\infty$ satisfying:

$$I'_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0,$$

$$\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_{\lambda_n, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega'_\Gamma} \to 0$$

and

$$I_{\lambda_n, j}(u_{\lambda_n}) \to c_j, \forall j \in \Gamma$$

Therefore, from of Proposition 4.1,

$$u_{\lambda_n} \to u \quad \text{in} \quad H^2(\mathbb{R}^4) \text{ with } u \ \in H_0^2(\Omega_\Gamma).$$

Moreover, u is a nontrivial solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + u = f(u), \text{in } \Omega_j \\ u = \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega_j, \end{cases} \tag{6.45}$$

PF

with $I_j(u) = c_j$ for all $i \in \Gamma$. Now, we claim that $u = 0$ in Ω_j, for all $j \notin \Gamma$. Indeed, it possible to prove that there is $\sigma_1 > 0$, which is independent of j, such that if v is a nontrivial solution of (6.45), then

$$\|v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega_j)} \geq \sigma_1.$$

However, the solution u verifies

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \Omega'_\Gamma)} = 0,$$

showing that $u = 0$ in Ω_j, for all $j \notin \Gamma$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] C. O. Alves, D.C. De Morais Filho and M. A. S. Souto, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of problems with critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **52** (2009), 1-21.

[2] C.O. Alves and A.B. Nóbrega, Existence of multi-bump solutions for a class of elliptic problems involving the biharmonic operator, arXiv:1602.03112v1 [math.AP].

[3] C.O. Alves and D.S. Pereira, Multiplicity of Multi-Bump type nodal solutions for a class of elliptic problems with exponential critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^2$, arXiv:1412.4219v1 [math.AP].

[4] C. O. Alves and M. A. S. Souto, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of problems with exponential critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^2$, J. Differential Equations **244** (2008), 1502-1520.

[5] T. Bartsch and Z.Q. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on $\mathbb{R}^N$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995), 1725-1741.

[6] T. Bartsch and Z.Q. Wang, Multiple positive solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **51** (2000), 366-384.

[7] D. Bucur and F. Gazzola, The first biharmonic Steklov eigenvalue: positivity preserving and shape optimization. Milan J. Math. **79** (2011), 247-258.

[8] M. Del Pino and P.L. Felmer, Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **4** (1996), 121-137.

[9] Y.H. Ding and K.Tanaka , Multiplicity of Positive Solutions of a Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation, Manuscripta Math. **112** (2003), 109-135.

[10] D.G. de Figueiredo, O.H. Miyagaki and B. Ruf, Elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with nonlinearities in the critical growth range, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **3** (1995), 139-153.

[11] A. Ferrero and F. Gazzola, A partially hinged rectangular plate as a model for suspension bridges. preprint (2013).

[12] F. Gazzola, H. Grunau and G. Sweers, Polyharmonic boundary value problems, Lectures notes in mathematics,1991. Springer-Verlag , Berlin, 2010.

[13] C. P. Gupta and Y. C. Kwong, Biharmonic eigen-value problems and L^p estimates. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **13** (1990), 469-480.

[14] A. C Lazer and P. J. McKenna, Large-amplitude periodic oscillations in suspension bridges: some new connections with nonlinear analysis. Siam Rev. **32** (1990), 537-578.

[15] B. Ruf and F. Sani, Sharp Adams-type inequalities in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), 645670.

[16] F. Sani, A biharmonic equation in $\mathbb{R}^4$ involving nonlinearities with critical exponential growth. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **12** (2013), 405428.